1

1		UNITED	STATES I	DISTR	ICT COURT		
2	FOR I	THE WESTE	RN DIST	RICT	OF WASHIN	GTON	
3			AT SEA	TTLE			
4							
5	UNITED STATES OF A	AMERICA,)				
6	Plaint	iff,)	No.	CR 22-510	2 CVB	
7	VS.)				
8	NATALIE F. BAETA,)				
9	Defend	lant.)				
10							 _
11		DET	ENTION I	HEARII	NG		
12	The Ho	norable	Brian A	Tsucl	hida Pres	iding	
13		De	cember 1	13, 2	022		
14							 _
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21	TRANSCRIBED BY: M	Marjorie	Jackson	, CET			
22	F	Reed Jack	son Watl	kins,	LLC		
23	C	Court-App	roved T	ransc	ription		
24	2	206.624.3	005				
25							

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 2 of 17

1	A P	P E A R A N C E S
2		
3	On Behalf of Plaintiff:	CECELIA Y. GREGSON
4		United States Attorney's Office
5		700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220
6		Seattle, Washington 98101
7		
8		
9	On Behalf of Defendant:	VANESSA PAI-THOMPSON
LO		Federal Public Defender's Office
11		1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700
L2		Seattle, Washington 98101
L3		
L 4	Also Present:	
L5	Erin O'Donnell, Pretrial S	Services
L 6	Cindy Stewart, Paralegal w	with FPD
L7	Deborah Malcolm, Investiga	ator with FPD
L 8	Zaheed Lynch, Community Pa	assageways
L 9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	-000-
2	December 13, 2022
3	
4	THE CLERK: All right. United States District Court
5	for the Western District of Washington is in session,
6	The Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida presiding.
7	THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated.
8	THE CLERK: Your Honor, the matter before the Court is
9	scheduled for a motion to review detention, Case No.
10	CR 22-198, assigned to Judge Lasnik, United States of
11	America v. Gianni Sharpa Thomas.
12	Counsel, please make your appearances.
13	MS. GREGSON: Good morning. Cecelia Gregson for the
14	Government.
15	THE COURT: Ms. Gregson, good morning.
16	MS. PAI-THOMPSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Vanessa
17	Pai-Thompson on behalf of Mr. Thomas, who is present
18	with me at counsel table. Also at counsel table is
19	Federal Public Defender Paralegal, Cindy Stewart. And
20	then present in the audience today we also have Federal
21	Public Defender Investigator, Deborah Malcolm, as well
22	as a representative from Community Passageways, Zaheed
23	Lynch, who the Court has heard about. And then also
24	community supports who were present for Mr. Thomas at
25	his initial hearing as well

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 4 of417

1	THE COURT: Thank you very much, Ms. Pai-Thompson and
2	Mr. Thomas. Good morning. We're here on your motion to
3	reopen. So Ms. Pai-Thompson, I want to hear from you
4	folks first.
5	MS. PAI-THOMPSON: Thank you. Thank you, Honor.
6	So I would like to begin by just outlining the release
7	plan that we propose and then I will address some of the
8	notes that Pretrial Services has made, specifically with
9	request request? with respect to the treatment
10	component and mental health component and, which,
11	candidly, I find a little bit troubling for reasons that
12	we'll get into.
13	So our proposal to the Court is that Your Honor
14	release Mr. Thomas directly to inpatient treatment. So
15	we're not proposing that he be released today. As part
16	of our work with him, he underwent an evaluation and has
17	been accepted to North Sound Behavioral Health, which is
18	an inpatient, residential treatment program run by
19	Pioneer Human Services.
20	The program into which he's been admitted is a
21	long-term co-occurring treatment program. So it's a
22	program that's focused both on substance use disorder as
23	well as mental health treatment. It is 60 to 90 days in
24	length. And as with other programs of this length,
25	there is the initial assessment, and then whether it

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 5 of 517

1	winds up being 60 or 90 kind of depends upon progress.
2	But we do always have we are able to communicate with
3	them about how long someone will be in the program.
4	I recently had a client go through this program, and I
5	found it incredibly valuable and more culturally
6	informed than a lot of kind of local treatment programs.
7	So I did provide information about that to Pretrial
8	Services (inaudible) as it is a smaller facility. So
9	it's only 16 beds, which allows for much more intensive
10	treatment.
11	It is in Everett, which for, Mr. Thomas, also puts him
12	closer to his son, who for him is a major motivation in
13	life.
14	After he completes treatment, we would then have him
15	transition into sober living housing. We don't have the
16	specific housing placement yet because it's just too far
17	out to do that. We can't there aren't places that
18	would hold a bed for 90 days, but that treatment is
19	readily available. I mentioned also to Pretrial
20	Services that if, for some reason, there was a need for
21	kind of gap housing for a couple of weeks, Mr. Thomas is
22	more than amenable to go into the halfway house, which
23	we obviously don't use as long-term placement on
24	Pretrial Services supervision. I think generally the
25	limit is up to 30 days. But given his employment

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 6 of 617

1	history and his ability to go back to his job not
2	just ability, but how eager his employer is to have him
3	back, which I'll address, there shouldn't be any issue
4	at all getting him into a sober living facility.
5	The sober living facility would provide the additional
6	benefits, as the Court knows, of a curfew, strict rules,
7	drug testing, in addition to the drug testing that
8	Pretrial Services would do, as well as what any
9	treatment provider would do, because we would fully
10	expect that after he completes treatment through North
11	Sound Behavioral Health, that he would be referred for
12	aftercare, outpatient treatment.
13	And then the Court has information in the Pretrial
14	Services report about culturally informed counseling
15	that we would expect he would do either as the mental
16	health component or in addition to further mental health
17	treatment, depending upon what the ultimate aftercare
18	recommendations are from North Sound Behavioral Health.
19	Another, I think, important piece about the
20	recommendation that he be that he move to sober
21	living housing rather than moving home with family is
22	Mr. Thomas's reflection, his reflection that he really
23	needs to be in a position where there aren't
24	expectations and kind of demands on him the way that
25	there are when he's living at home with family and that

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 7 of 17

1	he really needs to prioritize himself and just getting
2	stable, getting solid and doing all of the things that
3	the Court expects.
4	In addition to this, Your Honor, Mr. Thomas when
5	we were last before the court, we were proposing that
6	Mr. Thomas would participate with either Community
7	Passageways or with the YMCA's alive and Free program,
8	but he was not yet accepted into either. He is now
9	actively working with Community Passageways. And his
10	mentor, Zaheed Lynch, is present in court should Your
11	Honor have any questions for him.
12	As I believe the Court is aware, Community Passageways
13	is a community-based program that works with young
14	people who have lived through the types of experiences
15	Mr. Thomas has had. They provide mentorship, support,
16	referrals, and it has been great to see Mr. Thomas and
17	Mr. Lynch begin to develop what I think is going to be a
18	very productive working relationship.
19	I can tell the Court, also, that I was especially glad
20	when Mr. Thomas was assigned to work with Mr. Lynch. I
21	don't know whether the Court is aware, but I, through
22	our office's community engagement work, lead civics days
23	at high schools in Kent, in the Kent School District.
24	And as part of that program, we have a panel with young
25	people from Community Passageways. And then there is

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 8 of 817

1	a one of the mentors who comes and escorts them. It
2	just happened to be the case that as we were doing this
3	sort of general application process for Mr. Thomas, that
4	Mr. Lynch was actually the mentor who accompanied all of
5	the young people to the panels for Civics Day, and in
6	one case was our panel when a young person was not able
7	to ultimately make it.
8	And in having the opportunity to hear him talk with
9	groups of young people about his background, what drove
10	him when he was in college to want to do the type of
11	work that he is doing, what leads him to find it so
12	fulfilling and his approach to working with young people
13	and where they are, I really I feel very confident
14	that Mr. Thomas is in good hands.
15	And, again, he's certainly happy to address the Court,
16	should Your Honor have questions for him specifically.
17	As I indicated, Mr. Thomas does still have a job
18	waiting for him. I think the fact that his employer is
19	a manager at a retail T-Mobile store is still, all this
20	time later, so eager to have him back really speaks to
21	his character, his work ethic. Your Honor heard from
22	his boss, Ron Larson, at the last hearing.
23	Mr. Larson wanted to come to today's hearing.
24	Unfortunately, they have a mandatory they call it
25	required count by corporate that required him to be in

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 9 of 917

	•
1	the store today. And so he asked me to inform the Court
2	that his, quote, is "My feelings and desire to have
3	Gianni work for me have not changed," and that the only
4	reason he is not here today is because of a work
5	obligation that he can't get around.
6	So once he is through treatment and is able to go back
7	to work, Mr. Thomas, based upon the income that he was
8	making there, will be able to pay to live in a sober
9	living facility, would be able to contribute to the cost
10	of the bond to the degree that's something that the
11	Court requires. And, again, would not be in the
12	community until after he had been released directly to
13	treatment from the Federal Detention Center. We
14	continue to recommend location monitoring as a condition
15	of the bond.
16	And I think that the treatment program that we are
17	recommending to the Court is absolutely an appropriate
18	treatment. I, as I said, was a little troubled to see
19	in the Pretrial Services report a sort of parsing and
20	as I read it, at least suggestion that perhaps the
21	mental health component wasn't something that was
22	supported by the evaluation, or that my perception was

As the Court knows and Pretrial Services knows from

23

24

25

program.

that we were perhaps shoehorning him into a specific

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 10 of £7

our earlier interviews, Mr. Thomas is someone who has experienced profound trauma in his life. His father was killed by police in a very violent fashion. He has experienced personal trauma himself. And I think that the idea that there is no connectedness to his trauma experiences, to his prior cases, to his numbing through alcohol and drugs is not something that I think the record supports.

What the Court has before it is a young person who has a huge amount of potential, is just an absolute joy to work with, has had a lot that he has been trying to navigate on his own, that he was put in a position to be essentially kind of the head of the household at a time when what he really needed was support and help. He has shown that he was amenable to treatment. As indicated during the last hearing, his grandmother did send all of the children to mental health counseling after his father was killed, but that was not something that was maintained.

George Floyd's murder was incredibly triggering for him, given the similarities between that and the way that his father died and -- I would just leave it that it concerns me that the need for both mental health treatment and drug treatment and the connection to offenses in the past, to challenges that he's had, is

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 11 of 17

not being as openly recognized.

We have, because of his needs, provided the Court with the most intensive release plan I have proposed to the Court in some time, addressing, recognized to be very real needs. We are not asking the Court to speculate about when he will be in treatment, where he will be in treatment, if he will get into Community Passageways, if he will be employed.

The only open question is what specific sober living house he will go to and whether he might need a couple of weeks in a halfway house in between. But all of the concerns that are presented by this case are addressed by the release plan. And I would just say to the Court that he is very young and is in a position where he is incredibly open to and hungry for help. He is someone who his boss described as a joy to work with and reliable and always there on time for his shifts and never losing his patience or his temper with coworkers or with customers, even in a difficult retail setting.

I will tell the Court at the juncture that we are at,
I don't feel comfortable getting into specifics, but as
we continue to investigate this case, Mr. Thomas's
defense to the second alleged incident is all the more
strong. And this just isn't a case where I think we can
say that there are no conditions or combination of

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 12 of <u>1</u>7

1	conditions that would reasonably assure his return to
2	court and the safety of the community.
3	I will remind the Court also that when we were last
4	before Your Honor, Mr. Thomas had a condition requiring
5	that he enroll in the STOP program. We were able to
6	confirm that he had enrolled in STOP despite many emails
7	by Ms. Stewart to them trying to get just more
8	information about that. They just can be a little bit
9	difficult to communicate with, but they did confirm to
10	us that he had gone through the evaluation process that
11	he needed to, as directed. We would have no objection
12	to that also being included as a condition of his bond
13	in addition to being a DOC condition.
14	But with the plan that the Court has before it, I
15	submit to the Court and feel very strongly that
16	Mr. Thomas can be safely released to the community
17	again, directly to inpatient treatment for 60 to 90 days
18	while working with Community Passageways. Because they
19	are working with him through the case team, they will be
20	able to continue to meet with him while he is in
21	inpatient treatment, and then again releasing to a sober
22	living facility with ongoing supervision.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.
24	And, Ms. Gregson.
25	MS. GREGSON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 13 of 1/37

1	First off, I'd like to just say that I do commend
2	Ms. Pai-Thompson's zealous advocacy for Mr. Thomas, and
3	I appreciate that he's very youthful. But,
4	unfortunately, my job is to look at his history and the
5	conduct that he is charged with committing and his risk
6	to the community. And because of those things, I cannot
7	join defense counsel's requests.
8	I do think that, in addition to very concerning crimes
9	that are charged, having been committed while on
10	supervision with Department of Corrections, the other
11	sort of large pause for me is that I see a lot of no
12	contact order violations and protection order
13	violations. And those, from a prosecutorial
14	perspective, are always markers of either an inability
15	or an unwillingness to follow court conditions. And
16	there would be so many that would need to be cobbled
17	together to make this a cohesive, doable plan.
18	I just think the combination of the history, being on
19	supervision and these violations, repeated violations
20	of no contact orders, present a situation where we are
21	requesting the Court maintain Mr. Thomas in custody.
22	THE COURT: All right.
23	MS. GREGSON: Thank you.
24	THE COURT: And I guess I should hear from the
25	Pretrial Office if the office has anything to add. And

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 14 of 47

```
1
          I did look at the supplemental report and some of the
 2
          concerns raised about the past history, as well as the
 3
          connection to the treatment plan.
 4
           But go ahead.
 5
           MS. O'DONNELL: Yes, thank you, Your Honor. Erin
 6
         O'Donnell with Pretrial Services. Just in regards to or
 7
          in response to defense counsel's concerns in
 8
         paragraph 4, the last sentence speaks of a note by
         Mr. Currie, who completed the assessment, that he does
 9
10
         not present to need services in the mental health
11
         dimension. That was a direct quote from the assessment.
12
          I did ask supervisor and certified substance use
13
          counselor with the state, Jennifer Van Slander
14
          [phonetic] to come to court with us in case Your Honor
         had any specific questions or more questions about the
15
16
         assessment and how that goes. But I just wanted to note
17
          for the Court that that was a direct quote from his
18
         assessment.
19
            THE COURT: All right. So anything further?
20
           MS. GREGSON: I don't believe I have anything further,
21
         Your Honor, no.
22
            THE COURT: Thank you. Ms. Gregson, I thought you
23
         were going to say something so --
24
           MS. GREGSON: No, I just couldn't see Ms. O'Donnell.
```

THE COURT: Ms. Pai-Thompson.

25

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 15 of \$17

1	MS. PAI-THOMPSON: Just briefly, Your Honor, since DOC
2	supervision was raised and sort of concerns about people
3	having violations on DOC supervision. I think we quite
4	often in the conviction context, and I think quite
5	rightfully, hear discussion of how vastly superior our
6	Pretrial Services and probation office is to DOC. I
7	think that is particularly meaningful for someone as
8	young as Mr. Thomas and with a plan like this. So I
9	don't think that someone having violations on DOC is
10	indicative of the fact that with the additional support
11	and supervision that our probation office provides that
12	they cannot be safely maintained in the community.
13	And, again, I would just note that Mr. Currie, who did
14	the assessment with Mr. Thomas one, the assessment
15	was actually done earlier on prior to us like, I
16	think I even let the Court know, right, that it was in
17	process at the time, and so there is more information
18	that we have. Mr. Currie has been involved and looped
19	in, as Ms. Stewart and I have also been communicating
20	with the treatment provider about what plan was in
21	place. And he did agree that this was an appropriate
22	placement for Mr. Thomas.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	So, Mr. Thomas, this is a very difficult case and a
25	difficult decision. And sadly, I'm going to turn down

1	your request to reopen. And I know your lawyer has a
2	tremendous work-up here in terms of the treatment plan.
3	And I know all of the plans that she's always presented
4	usually have the same type of level of preparation.
5	They're never flimsy kinds of plans. But, you know, I
6	think one of the things that's so difficult in this
7	case, at least for myself, is that your history really
8	starts as soon as you're almost like an adult. And it's
9	serious history. It's serious history even before
10	George Floyd and continued to be serious history.
11	And although the Department of Corrections doesn't
12	have as many resources to do the supervision, I think
13	the concern, I know was argued earlier, is that when
14	there are actual new criminal charges on supervision,
15	that's always like a very, very challenging problem.
16	And, you know, I know your lawyer is saying you're at
17	this point where you're suddenly going to change. You
18	got seven years of criminal history, but if you get out,
19	you're going to change. But the history is what it is,
20	and so I'm going to deny the request to reopen.
21	My decision is just a magistrate judge's decision, so
22	it's always reviewable by a district judge so you always
23	have that option, too. But in the meantime, sadly,
24	you're going to remain in custody until the case is
25	resolved.

Case 2:22-cr-00198-RSL Document 32-1 Filed 12/28/22 Page 17 of 17

```
1
            All right. Mr. Gregson, Ms. Pai-Thompson, anything
 2
          further?
 3
           MS. PAI-THOMPSON: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
 4
           MS. GREGSON: Not at this time. Thank you.
            THE CLERK: All rise. Court is in recess.
 5
 6
                         (Conclusion of hearing)
 7
 8
 9
     /s/ Marjorie Jackson, CET
10
    AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber
11
    Reed Jackson Watkins, LLC
12
    Court Approved Transcription Company
13
     800 5th Avenue, Suite 101-183
14
     Seattle, Washington 98101
15
     206.624.3005
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```